UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/797,848	03/10/2004	Souk-Joung Joon	8836-230 (IB12296-US)	5659	
	7590 10/09/200 SSOCIATES, LLC	8	EXAMINER		
130 WOODBU	RY ROAD	FINDLEY, CHRISTOPHER G			
WOODBURY,	NY 11/9/		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/797,848	JOON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on 6/26/2008. A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expenses a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of	he appeal.
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a)☐ They raise new issues that would require further col  (b)☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		···- (·	, .
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al  non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	·	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ll and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claim language regarding a user-defined threshold was not previously presented and would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other: The Office Action mailed 3/18/2008 cites Malone et al. (US Pre-Grant Publication Number 2006/0115111 A1), which claims the priority date of parent US Patent Number 6,996,251. Since the Applicant has not submitted certified foreign priority documents to perfect the Applicant's foreign priority claim and the filing date of US 6,996,251 B2 pre-dates the filing date of the present application, the Examiner deems the Applicants argument regarding the date of Malone et al. non-persuasive.